



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,606	02/27/2007	Ingo Meirick	4147-144	9780
23117 7590 06/15/2011 NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, MAHENDRA R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/15/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/571,606		MEIRICK ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	MAHENDRA PATEL		2617	

All Participants:

(1) MAHENDRA PATEL

(2) John R. Lastova

Date of Interview: 10 June 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 (second paragraph)

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 3, 10, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshida, Muller

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meless N Zewdu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: we discussed whether the complete data packet to be discarded is different from the data packet segment and a next consecutive data packet segment or not. We also discussed whether Discarding includes completed or partial build data packets. We discussed How completed packets are identified and What is the identifier used to track packets. We discussed whether the Segment size is variable or fix.

Applicant agrees with examiner's concern and will make appropriate correction in the next response. There is a support in the specification for above discussion. see. [0012] and [0055].